Su Yu-ching

批判閱讀與思考(一): 紅色字體:作者 Baron 的想法、判斷與解讀。 藍色字體:作者用來強調個人想法的特殊用字遣詞。 黑色字體:引用其他作者的第一手,第二手文章。

Baron, Michael. Language and Relationship in Wordsworth's Writing. Longman: London, 1995.

Aesthetic and economic values: 'Michael'

Wordsworth commended 'Michael' to the attention of his friend Thomas Poole as 'a picture of a man . . . agitated by two of the most powerful affections of the human heart; the parental affection, and the love of property, *landed* property, including the feelings of inheritance, home and personal and family independence'. Emphasis on inheritance is rare in Wordsworth's writing, but the concept of 'landed property', linking landscape and economic effort, can easily be seen as a development of the idea of aesthetic ownership implicit in the 'Poems of the Naming of Places'. Wordsworth's comment points to the social and political forces of the poem.

Yet the most famous act of praise of 'Michael', Matthew Arnold's in his 1879 introduction to a section of Wordsworth's poems, used it to formulate an aesthetic criterion of naturalness in poetry, a naturalness which was at the same time the 'expressive[ness]' of Wordsworth's poetry at its most characteristic. The line 'And never lifted up a single stone' exhibited, according to Arnold, a kind of non-style (or perhaps meta-style) in which '[i]t might seem the Nature not only gave him the matter for his poem, but wrote his poem for him'. Such poetry owed nothing to language and everything to nature.

On the face of it there is no necessary conflict between these views, because one is broadly about subject matter and the other about style. But recent readings have shown how the poem joins the two in dialogue or conflict between aesthetic and social matters. Marjorie Levinson's widely influential discussion in *Wordsworth's Great Period Poems* is professedly materialist, asking questions about the precise economic circumstances of Michael's ownership of his 'landed property' (if Michael paid off his mortgage on the land by producing a surplus income, why can't he pay off his new debt in the same way after Luke leaves?) and arguing that Michael falls into the trap of sacrificing his son by putting a price on his life – doing what Abraham in the biblical narrative was prevented from doing. These problems are left unresolved in the poem because Wordsworth is more interested in poetry than in work: the poem has a frame narrative of how the speaker himself learned the story of Michael and why he is passing it on to others.

註解[a1]: <mark>洮還雜威引文</mark>:華 茲華斯對自己作品《麥克爾》 的看法。

註解[a2]: 田时交導入作者 論號: Baron 引用華茲華斯自 己的推薦詞,來說明此詩中所 注意到的地產之愛很不尋 常,它串連起地景與經濟,也 展現了此詩的社會政治力道。

註解[a3]: 文獻回顧 先回顧前人 Matthew Arnold 所推崇此詩之中的『自然性』 具有高度美學的表達性。

註解 [a4]: <mark>文獻回顧</mark>:Baron 深化 Arnold 觀點對於自然的 強調。

註解 [a5]: <mark>來人發現讓題</mark>:但 是 Baron 指出新近的解讀傾 向於認為此詩結合了美學和 社會觀點的衝突。

註解 [a6]: 提出

Table: Baron 提出 Levinson 的物質主義觀點,後者指出麥 克爾雖有地產卻仍然經濟困 窘的難題。

註解 [a7]: 作者網

Levinson的觀點;詩中雖然提 問,卻並無解決,乃是因為華 茲華斯重視的是詩的架構和 詩的傳承。 for the sake Of youthful Poets, who among these hills Will be my second self when I am gone.

As Michael hopes (but fails) to pass on his land to his son Luke, Wordsworth hopes (and succeeds) in passing his narrative on to readers, potential poets. These are two parallel stories of inheritance, and, in Levinson's view, the poems values aesthetic inheritance more highly than legal inheritance of landed property.

This parallel is important in providing a way of linking economics and aesthetics, but there is a broader and, I think, more precise context available if we look at different kinds of aestheticisation in the poem. In the first place the action has an inevitability which gives it a kind of tragic form, and we can link this with Wordsworth's comment about the poem to Charles James Fox. Writing of 'small independence *proprietors* of land', he argues that ownership of inherited land immeasurably deepens the 'domestic affections' in a way 'inconceivable by those who have only had an opportunity of observing hired labourers, farmers, or the manufacturing Poor':

Their little tract of land serves as a kind of permanent rallying point for their domestic affections. . . . It is a fountain fitted to the nature of social men.

Wordsworth goes on to say that this 'class of men is rapidly disappearing'. Two things need attention here, first, that he is writing at a moment of perceived social decline, so that Michael's failure to hold on to both land and family symbolises a general problem that needs a political solution: arresting the drift towards towns and factories and controlling the depression of wages and rise in prices. All these factors are mentioned in the letter to Fox. Wordsworth makes tragedy out of a specific historical moment. This raises the question of how effective a political generalisation can be made out of a very particularised section of society: Michael's way of life is important partly *because* it is uncommon. The second point is that there is a blurring of aesthetic and emotional experience in the quoted passage that complicated any simple opposition between the aesthetic and the economic. The same thing happens much more extensively in the poem itself.

This crucial passage is that in which Michael's feelings for the land and for his son become mutally supportive:

He with his Father daily went, and they Were as companions, why should I relate That objects which the Shepherd loved before Were dearer now? That from the Boy there came 註解 [a8]: 佐讀:引用華茲華 斯《麥克爾》之中的詩句來證 明上段中的判斷有所根據。

註解 [H9]: <mark>作</mark>

指出Levinson 在分析中建立 起一組平行的類比:麥克爾希 望留給兒子地產,正如華茲華 絲希望把詩留給潛在的後代 詩人。也就是說,此詩較重視 的是詩所留下美學遺產

Baron

註解 [a10]: 作者推出[

石法:Baron 認為 Levinson 串連美學和經濟是對的,但是 還需要從更大的脈絡來讀,特 別是詩中的悲劇形式。

註解[a11]: 在一部:Baron 認為 這個悲劇在於華茲華斯認為 地產的擁有深化了家人情 感,這是別的階級沒有的。 Baron引用華茲華斯自己的說 法作為佐證。

註解 [a12]: 佐壽:Baron 引用 華茲華斯的語句來證明他對 華氏的觀點是有根據的。

註解 [apple13]: <mark>/</mark>

三的惩察:Baron 從當時的歷 史背景,以及華茲華斯自己在 信中的想法,整理出二件值得 注意的事:(一)華茲華斯對 當時社會衰退的現象不是沒 有所感,而且曾提出政治方案 來解決人口流失;(二)詩中有 明顯的美學與情感經驗的模 糊,因此無法認定其間有簡單 的對立關係。 Feelings and emanations, things that were Light to the sun and music to the wind; And that the Old man's heart seemed born again. (207-13)

'Light to the sun': the phrase reminds us of one of Wordsworth's formulations of imaginative awakening in The Prelude: 'an auxiliary light / Came from my mind'. Michael invests Luke with the power to waken his (Michael's) imagination, and so Luke becomes the aesthetic property or agent of his father. In this way Michael's experience and values are so inward-looking that he is left vulnerable to any 'outside' influence, which must inevitably disturb what he has emotionally achieved. He has himself created a hard line between inner and outer in the act of internalising Luke's (imagined) experience. It is this inevitability, I think, that makes the poem tragic as well as elegiac: Michael's emotional strengths are also his limitations. It is much more concentrated and self-contained than the 'Poems on Naming of Places' and its companion-piece 'The Brothers', which I shall consider below, nor does it have a dynamic debate about the barrier that divides within and without, as some of Wordsworth's ballads do. Significantly his house is a symbol of work for the surrounding community but not a scene of communal economic relations. Harmonius spousal relations within the cottage are symbolised by the two spinning wheels, but to others 'The Evening Star' as the cottage is named is 'a Public symbol of the life, / The thrifty Pair had lived': a thing apart from their neighbours, a landmark seen from afar, not a centre of communal life.

The other kind of aestheticisation (which Levinson and others draw attention to) is Wordsworth's self-reference as a poet who, as it were, grew into the role of the poet by hearing such stories as the one he relates: 'Michael' is a poem to make poets out of economics.

It was the first,

The earliest of those tales that spake to me

Of Shepherds, dwellers in the vallies, men Whom I already loved, not, verily For their sakes, but for the field and hills Where was their occupation and abode. And hence this Tale, while yet I was a boy Careless of books, yet having felt the power

Of Nature, by the gentle agency

Of Natural objects led me on to feel

For passions that were not my own, and think

註解 [apple14]: 佐讀: Baron 引述詩中的句子來說明上述 第二點。

註解 [apple15]: <mark>解說上面的</mark> 引句</mark>:Baron 引用 *The Prelude*

中的詩句觀念來讀 Michael, 以說明在有產傳子的脈絡 中,父對子的情感投注也使得 父很容易也必然受到外在(社 會經濟)力道的衝擊。這個悲 劇性正在於情感是 Michael 的 強處也是他的弱點。

註解 [apple16]: 加強觀察的 要要: Baron 進而談到實體的 財產,也就是麥克爾居住的小 屋。Baron 從中讀出房屋雖是 生產工作的象徵,卻是和周遭 社區經濟體系和社區生活隔 絕的——因而可以被美學化

註解 [apple17]: 加強觀察的 要要:Baron 揭露詩中的另一 種美學化:華茲華斯在聽故 事,說故事的動態過程中長成 詩人。因此,詩人透過詩的美

學而被「生產」出來的過程也

可以視爲詩的一種經濟思考。

At random and imperfectly indeed On man; the heart of man and human life. (21-33)

In these lines Wordsworth's speaker uses Michael for his own aesthetic purposes just as Michael uses Luke for his emotional purpose, 'Not verily / For their own sakes'. This supports the view that the poem is about transforming economic actuality into aesthetic values, but we must also give due weight to the sense of inner and outer as it applies in both these fields.



註解 [apple19]: 四加強說明 自己的觀點: Baron 認為此詩 的過程主要就是把(Michael) 經濟的現實轉換成(華茲華 斯)美學的價值。換句話說, 詩的美學觀(內心的)裡包含 著經濟生產(外在的)。Baron 也認爲也不應該對於外在(物 質)與內在(心靈與美學)二個 領域思考中過於偏廢。

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _